Age-specific MRI brain and head templates for healthy adults from 20 through 89 years of age

Michelle Phillips John Richards

Carmen Sanchez

Hard Data Café 3/2/12

Today's Outline

- Why create age-specific MRI templates?
- Goals
- Image characteristics
- Methods
- Results
- Conclusions

Today's Outline

- Why create age-specific MRI templates?
- Goals
- Image characteristics
- Methods
- Results
- Conclusions

MRI Templates

- What it is?
 - Average brain representing a population
- Why is it important?
 - Individual differences in neuroanatomy
 - Compare results across studies
 - Generalize results to a larger population

Current MRI Templates



Brain Changes as We Age



20–24 Years Old

50–54 Years Old

80-84 Years Old

Measuring Volumetric Changes

- Accurate tissue segmentation is especially important in the measurement of volumetric characteristics
- Segmentation procedures often use a priori reference data
- MNI tissue priors

Measuring Volumetric Changes

Individual Brain



Spatial Normalization

Common template





Measuring Volumetric Changes

Problem?

 Using MNI reference data as a starting place for segmentation of a 65-year-old brain may bias our tissue estimates

Solutions

- Study-specific template
 - VBM (Ashburner & Friston, 2000; Good, et al., 2001; Thompson, et al., 2001)
- Age-matched templates
 - **Pediatric templates** (Sanchez, Richards, & Almli, 2011, in press; Wilke, et al., 2003)

Today's Outline

- Why create age-specific MRI templates?
- Goals
- Image characteristics
- Methods
- Results
- Conclusions

Goals

 Construct age-specific brain templates for adults 20-89 years of age for use by the research and clinical community

 Compare the use of age-specific templates to age-inappropriate templates (like MNI) for tissue segmentation

Today's Outline

- Why create age-specific MRI templates?
- Goals
- Image characteristics
- Methods
- Results
- Conclusions

Image Characteristics

Sources:

- NIH MRI Study of Normal Brain Development (NIHPD; Almli, Rivkin, & McKinstry, 2007; Evans & BDCG, 2006; Waber, et al., 2007)
 - 26, 20–24
- USC McCausland Center for Brain Imaging (USC-MCBI)
 - 132, 20-65
- Information Extracted from Medical Images (IXI) database (Ericsson, Alijabar, & Rueckert, 2008; Heckemann, et al., 2003)
 - 546, 20-86
- Cross-sectional database of the Open Access Series of Imaging Studies (OASIS; Marcus, et al., 2007)
 - 283, 20-89
- Longitudinal database of OASIS (Marcus et al., 2010)
 - 175 scans from 72 participants, 60-89

Image Characteristics

 Overall 1162 T1 scans from 1059 participants were used for creation of the templates

693 Female/469 Male

- External Test Set
 - USC-MCBI (20, 21-35 years)
 - Medical University of South Carolina (MUSC; 15, 58-83 years)

Today's Outline

- Why create age-specific MRI templates?
- Goals
- Image characteristics
- Methods
- Results
- Conclusions

File Preparation

 Brains were extracted from whole-head MRI volume using the brain extraction tools of FSL





Adjusted the MRI intensity variations found in the datasets that stemmed from different machines, different recording sites and slight differences in protocol

Age-Specific Template Construction

Processing Pipeline



Age-Specific Template Construction

Each line represents an age-specific template (n=14)



Transformation Parameters

- At the end of the final iteration, files containing values representing the parameters of the transformation from the individual participant MRI volumes to the average volumes were retained
- Used in tissue classification steps

-1.1544	4 0.025868	-0.05035	-3.1684
0 02348	8 1 06702	0 103427	-25 0112
0.02340	1.00702	0.103427	25.0112
0.05910	6 -0.11975	1.16483	-54.4782
	0 0	0	1



Tissue Classification

Individual participant MRI volumes were classified into GM, WM, and CSF

FSL FAST

- Without using any *a priori* reference data ("Image")
- Used with the MNI-152 tissue priors ("MNI a priori")
- "MNI a posteriori" tissue priors

Tissue Classification

 All three methods resulted in a set of partial volume estimates (PVEs) for GM, WM, and CSF, for each participant's MRI volume



Tissue Classification Tests

- 56 scans from the original set
 - Template priors used to construct PVE estimates for these participants (FAST -P)
 - "MNI a posteriori" 5-year
 - "Image a posteriori"
 - 5-year
 - 10-year
 - Multi-year
 - All other 5-year ("age-inappropriate")

Tissue Classification Tests

- The three PVE volumes were used to construct a single three-class segmented volume, by assigning each voxel a tissue type based on the maximum PVE estimate from the three tissue volumes
- Additional PVE estimates:
 - Individual's "Image"
 - Individual' s MNI a priori

Statistical Analyses

Dice coefficient

 Represents the intersection of two similarly labeled regions divided by the mean volume of the regions

Two tests:

- Overlap between volume based on the individual's "Image" segmentation and other segmentation routines
- Overlap between volume based on the participant's age-appropriate five-year template and that based on successively older and younger five-year templates

Today's Outline

- Why create age-specific MRI templates?
- Goals
- Image characteristics
- Methods
- Results
- Conclusions













70-74





















25-39



40-59











Example three-class segmented volumes:

"Image"



"Image a posteriori"



"MNI a priori"











Results - External Test Set



Today's Outline

- Why create age-specific MRI templates?
- Goals
- Image characteristics
- Methods
- Results
- Conclusions

Conclusions

- Reference data from age-appropriate templates leads to more accurate segmentation as compared to ageinappropriate templates
 - Internal
 - External
- Use of age-specific reference data should facilitate the generation of reliable conclusions about morphological and volumetric brain changes that occur with aging

Future Directions

- Age-specific brain atlases
- Functional imaging data
 - Huang, et al. (2010)

